

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,113		12/05/2001	Sandra Lynn Carrico	2001-0450	9439
26652	7590	07/26/2006		EXAMINER	
AT&T CORP.				CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
ROOM 2	A207 &T WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921				2136	
				DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/005,113	CARRICO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David G. Cervetti	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	av 2006						
,	action is non-final.						
,	<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>12 May 2005</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/005,113 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 15, 2006, have been fully considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

2. Claims 1-11 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objection to the abstract is withdrawn.
- 4. The applicant has not adequately traversed the examiner's use of official notice with regards to the claimed limitation found in claim 5, this feature is taken by the examiner to be admitted prior art since the applicant has not adequately challenged the examiner's use of official notice (see MPEP 2144.03(c), 2144.04).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherer et al. (US Patent 6,115,376, hereinafter Sherer), and further in view of Jones et al. (US Patent 5,623,637, hereinafter Jones).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Sherer teaches a security mechanism for enabling a user to commence a session between a network peripheral device and a network, comprising (column 5, lines 32-67):

an immutable memory element that contains first information including application software that initiates and provides security services (column 5, lines 32-67);

- a persistent memory element that contains second information to enable the security mechanism to configure the network peripheral device to access different networks (column 5, lines 32-67);
- a volatile memory element that contains third information, including the critical data for authentication (column 5, lines 32-67).

Sherer does not expressly disclose third information erased from the volatile memory at the completion of each connection session or a tamper-evident enclosure. However, Sherer suggests using different authentication schemes (column 5, lines 32-67), and Jones teaches a tamper-evident enclosure for enclosing the memory elements (column 2, lines 1-67, column 3, lines 1-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use session keys which are valid for just a session and deleted afterwards. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to use session specific credentials and to provide means to detect attempted tampering (Sherer, column 7, Jones, column 2).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the security services include authentication of the security mechanism itself and authentication of the user to the network upon receipt of identification Information from the security mechanism and the user, respectively (Sherer, column 7, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the immutable memory contains a private key for encrypting the user and security mechanism identification information (Sherer, column 7, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the immutable memory comprises a Read-only Memory (ROM) (Sherer, fig 3, column 5).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Sherer and Jones does not expressly disclose wherein the immutable memory further includes a Write-once ROM. However, Sherer teaches using memory on his device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use other types of memory with the system of Sherer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide means for manufacturer to add a unique identification to the device. Furthermore, this feature has been admitted by applicant to have been conventional and well known at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the persistent memory comprises at least one of one of a Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor Random Access Memory (CMOSRAM) and a Programmable Read Only Memory (PROM) (Sherer, fig 3, column 5).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the volatile memory comprises a random access memory (Sherer, fig 3, column 5).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the tamper evident enclosure readily exhibits any attempt to gain access there through to the memory elements enclosed therein (column 2, lines 1-67, column 3, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-67, column 6, lines 1-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,113 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Sherer and Jones teaches wherein the physical security of the security mechanism depends on the degree of tamper resistance of the enclosure (column 2, lines 1-67, column 3, lines 1-67).

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Neuman et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0162026) teaches an apparatus for providing secure network communication.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571) 272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am 5:00 pm, off on Wednesday.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/005,113

Art Unit: 2136

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DGC

CHRISTOPHER REVAK

Page 6

PRIMARY EXAMINER